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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past few decades to the present day, studies devoted to the problem of default risk assessment and forecasting the financial distress of companies are constantly increasing. Among these research works, we cite those of Bardos and Zhu (1997), Chava and Jarrow (2004) and Hillegeist (2004). A large number of these studies are based on techniques of statistical analysis of accounting quantities and financial ratios in order to discriminate between healthy companies from failing companies.

Indeed, the prudential regulations imposed by the Basel Committee are of great importance insofar as they allow banks to foresee the risk of default that may be generated by a company requesting a loan, thus judging the quality of each loan line and optimize the return on funds granted. Thus, mastering the management of financial distress remains an objective sought by banks insofar as they can recover their borrowed capital, decide to refuse to renew or grant new loans.

2. General information on credit risk: concepts and definitions

Granting loans to companies remains a main source of funds for the majority of banking establishments and also for the development of a country's economy, however, these revenues generate risks that must be controlled from the first phase of the request. lending, hence the central theme of the new Basel agreements.

2.1 Some notions of risk

In general, risk corresponds to situations of loss whose probability of occurrence is not zero, so this situation is probable and measurable. (Greenbaum and Thakor, 2007), highlights risk as a fundamental element influencing financial behavior and financial institutions, including banking institutions. They must manage it well to survive in an increasingly uncertain environment. "Risk is endemic to business but central to banking". For Joël BESSIS, all risks are defined as losses associated with adverse developments. The important direct consequence
is that any measure of risk relies on the assessment of such impairments and their impact on results. According to SAMPSON A, it is about "the tension that lives in bankers is inseparable from their job, they watch over the savings of others and yet they make profits by lending them to others, which inevitably involves risks. A banker who does not take risks is not one. Nalleau G and Roucham M designate risk as “a commitment bearing uncertainty endowed with a probability of gain and harm, whether this is a degradation or a loss”.

2.2. Credit Definitions

Credit is an agreement by which a certain sum is lent against a promise of repayment and against payment of interest (Josette and Max Peyrard, 2001). As for (Ahmed Silem and Jean-Marie Albertini, 1983), define credit as an act of trust resulting in a loan in kind or in cash granted in return for a promise of reimbursement, within a period generally fixed in advance. On the way to this last definition. (Lukuitshi, 2010), emphasizes that credit is an operation during which a lender can make available to a borrower or debtor, a sum of money subject to a repayment commitment on a date determined in advance.

According to G. Petit Dutaillis (1967), to give credit is to trust, it is to make available a real good, a purchasing power, against the promise that the same good will be returned within a certain period, the most often with remuneration for the service rendered and the danger incurred, danger of partial or total loss inherent in the very nature of this service. Thus, credit can be defined as a loan granted by a banker for remuneration taking into account the duration of the loan and the risk linked to the situation of the borrower.

In summary, credit results from the combination of three determinants: The time or period during which the beneficiary has the loaned funds, the trust placed by the creditor in the debtor, the promise of restitution of the loaned funds.

In general, a credit transaction, considered from the lender's point of view as a risky transaction that requires the intervention of regulations intended to reduce the risk incurred.

2.3. Credit Risk: Literature Review

By definition, credit risk is the risk that a borrower does not repay all or part of his credit on the due dates set out in the contract signed between him and the bank. In the context of risk management, credit risk is considered a major risk in a bank. Indeed, many authors have attempted to define the notion of credit risk, for Faye (1993), this risk is defined as being the risk of losing all or part of the receivables in the event that the borrower does not have more at the end of the term the will or the possibility of honoring its commitments. For Wonou (2006), credit risk can be defined as the risk of loss linked to the default of a borrower on a commitment to repay debts that he has contracted.

The Basel Committee defines credit or counterparty risk as the risk of non-reimbursement associated with a loan granted by a bank. Indeed, it corresponds to the uncertainty related to the ability of a borrower to settle his debts and to respect his contractual commitments.

For Desmicht (2007), several works of research have treated the credit risk of companies with a term that is different from one study to another, it is generally called risk of default, risk of cessation of payment or risk of default. Thus he defines credit risk as the risk of non-payment or risk of default.

3. Empirical Study of Credit Risk

Among the methods used in predictive analysis, we find the technique of logistic regression which has been appreciated in the field of finance mainly in epistemological surveys and credit scoring.

3.1. Determination of the Representative Sample

Our sample constituted covers a three-year period from 2015 to 2017, and includes 2030 companies, made up of SMEs and large companies (GE) with both financial and descriptive information.
For the elaboration of our development and test sample, we opted for a simple stratified sampling.

Table 1: Breakdown of the study sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LABELS LINES</th>
<th>NUMBER OF DEFAULTS</th>
<th>DEVELOPMENT SAMPLE</th>
<th>% OF DEVELOPMENT SAMPLE</th>
<th>VALIDATION SAMPLE</th>
<th>% OF THE VALIDATION SAMPLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1821</td>
<td>1275</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>1421</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Namely that modality 1 represents the failing company and 0 the healthy company.

3.2. Presentation of the explanatory variables for predicting failure

Among the financial determinants of failure, we find in particular the ratios relating to liquidity, solvency, size and indebtedness. In our study, we retained 17 financial ratios as explanatory variables of the target variable which is credit default. The literature on financial economics has shown the primary role played by these financial variables in the treatment of the situation and the financial health of the company through the financial analysis or other evaluation method used by financial analysts, investors or rating agencies.

Based on the empirical literature review of various works in the field of predicting corporate financial difficulties, the explanatory variables used are generally financial ratios. Below are the different ratios considered as explanatory variables used in our model.

Table 2: The financial ratios used in our study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF RATIOS</th>
<th>VARIABLES</th>
<th>FINANCIAL RATIOS</th>
<th>FORMULA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIQUIDITY RATIOS</td>
<td>R1L Stock rotation</td>
<td>(Stock/Turnover)*365</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2L Rotation of trade receivables</td>
<td>(Customer receivables/Turnover)*365</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R3L Cash Ratio</td>
<td>Current Assets/Liabilities Cash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R4L Turnover/Fixed Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R5L Equity/Medium and Long Term Debt</td>
<td>Equity/financing debt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE RATIOS</td>
<td>R1T Age</td>
<td>Rating Date-creation Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2T Turnover</td>
<td>Log Turnover</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTIVITY RATIOS</td>
<td>R1A Asset Turnover</td>
<td>Turnover / Total assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Univariate analysis of variables

The initial analysis of the descriptive statistics is useful for understanding the variability of the elements used in the study and detecting the errors that may occur in the estimates. The table below presents the main descriptive statistics of the variables used in the analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Ratios</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Financial Ratios</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solvency Ratios</strong></td>
<td>R1S Gearing</td>
<td>Medium and long-term debt/Equity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2S % Equity in the Structure</td>
<td>Equity/ Debt + Equity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Debt Ratios</strong></td>
<td>R1E Debt</td>
<td>(Assets-Equity) /Assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2E Coverage of financial costs</td>
<td>Financial Charges/Added Value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R3E turnover in working capital requirement</td>
<td>working capital requirement/ Turnover *365</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Descriptive statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLES</th>
<th>MEDIUM</th>
<th>MEDIANE</th>
<th>MODE</th>
<th>Ecart-type</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>3,073</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>.8215</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENDETTEMENT</td>
<td>5,0106</td>
<td>5,00000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,875742</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>5,0669</td>
<td>6,00000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2,876959</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>5,0598</td>
<td>6,00000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2,874021</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROTATION STOCK</td>
<td>4,60662</td>
<td>5,00000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>2,732060</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROTATION CREANCES CLIENTS</td>
<td>5,0457</td>
<td>6,00000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>2,874514</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC/PC</td>
<td>5,0739</td>
<td>6,00000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>2,874753</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA/ACTIF IMMOBILISE</td>
<td>5,0739</td>
<td>6,00000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2,876712</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRESORERIE NET</td>
<td>5,0457</td>
<td>6,00000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2,876228</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP/STRUCTURE</td>
<td>5,0901</td>
<td>6,00000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>2,477920</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFR/CA</td>
<td>5,0457</td>
<td>6,00000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,876228</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP/DETTES</td>
<td>5,01513</td>
<td>6,00000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2,884749</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the companies operate in various sectors of activity, we find 30.73% of the companies carry out their activities in the trade and distribution of services 34.32% in the construction sector, 20% in industry and 15, 82% in agriculture. The following graph illustrates the distribution of companies by sector of activity.

Graph 1: Breakdown of companies in the sample by sector of activity

Source: spss

For the legal form variable, our sample is made up of 54% SAs and 46% SARLs.

The circular below represents the business segment variable, our sample consists of 68% represented by the category of small and medium-sized enterprises SME and 32% by the category of large enterprises.
Graph 2: Breakdown of SMEs and large enterprises (LE) in the sample

Source : SPSS

3.4. Bivariate analysis of variables

To test the dependence between the explained variable and the explanatory variables, we used the chi-square test, this test of independence of the chi-square is used to assess the existence or not of a relationship between two characters within a population, when these characters are qualitative or when one character is quantitative and the other is qualitative, or even when the two characters are quantitative but the values have been grouped together.

The null hypothesis is:

\[ H_0 : \text{there is independence between the explained variable } Y \text{ and the explanatory variable } X_i. \]

The chi-square test, represented in the table below, shows that, for all the variables retained, the hypothesis of independence is rejected at the 5% significance level except for the variables Age, Rotation Stock, current assets/current liabilities, turnover/fixed assets, ROE, so we can say that there is a dependence between the explained variable and the explanatory variables chosen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLES</th>
<th>CHI (VALUE)</th>
<th>CHI2 (SIG%)</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANCE</th>
<th>PREDICTIVE POWER</th>
<th>CORRECTED SIGNIFICANCE</th>
<th>CORRECTED PREDICTIVE POWER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>7,2207</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
<td>Non</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEBT</td>
<td>40,349</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TURNOVER</td>
<td>42,091</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALANCE SHEET TOTAL</td>
<td>64,865</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROTATION STOCK</td>
<td>12,249</td>
<td>.140</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
<td>Non</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRADE RECEIVABLES</td>
<td>54,273</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROTATION CURRENT ASSETS/CURRENT LIABILITIES</td>
<td>11,060</td>
<td>.272</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
<td>Non</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TURNOVER/FIXED ASSETS</td>
<td>17,937</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
<td>Non</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET CASH</td>
<td>55,740</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUITY/STRUCTURE WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT / TURNOVER</td>
<td>21,261</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP/DETTES</td>
<td>29,206</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAIS FINANCIERS/CA</td>
<td>41,656</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE</td>
<td>16,005</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
<td>Non</td>
<td>&gt;5%</td>
<td>Non</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASH RATIO</td>
<td>27,055</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSET TURNOVER</td>
<td>52,470</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEARING</td>
<td>340,2</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>Oui</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5. Correlation analysis and selection of explanatory variables

The indicator used to measure the degree of correlation between the variables is the Spearman correlation coefficient which is a correlation indicator by ranks, it is a coefficient which expresses the relationship between two variables, either numerical or alphanumeric.

The value of p which is the correlation coefficient is measured as follows:
- If the result < 0.3% : Means a weak correlation
- If the result between 0.3% and 0.5% : Means a medium correlation
- If the result >0.5% : Means a strong correlation

In our case study, we used the Spearman test, we kept only the variables with a value less than <0.3.

After determining the correlation effects in particular in a multivariate framework, we retain the following explanatory variables which will be used to present our model :
- The explained variable : the default of the company
- The explanatory variables : Debt, Turnover, Rotation of customer receivables, Equity in structure, Cash ratio, Financial costs, WCR/CA, Asset Turnover, Equity/ML debt.

Regarding the correlated ratios, we tried several tests under SPSS in order to eliminate redundancies and we retained 9 ratios in our prediction model which represent the best explanatory power.

We can thus notice that variables from five categories of financial ratios (Debt, Size, Liquidity, Solvency, Activity) are significant.

4. Development of a default prediction model based on the logistic regression method under SPSS

At this level, we will present the logistic regression model with the interpretation of the results obtained.

4.1. Presentation of the model

We have a final sample of 1421 observations. Within the framework of compliance with the time horizon and in accordance with the regulations of the Basel Committee (Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, 1999), the duration used in our default prediction model is 2 years, which largely respects the duration required, which is 12 months in the credit risk prediction models used by banks subject to the international standards of the Basel agreements.

We define the multiple linear regression model as any linear regression model with at least two explanatory variables.

The goal of the regression is to establish the law \( y = f(x) \).

Once this law has been estimated, we will try to predict a value of \( y \) for a given value of \( x \).

The model we want to estimate is:

\[
\log \left( \frac{p_i}{1-p_i} \right) = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + \ldots + b_n x_n
\]

With \( p_i \): the probability of being “Healthy” 1-\( p_i \): the probability of being “Failing”.

The estimation of the model is made by the SPSS software such as the explained variable which is a dichotomous variable and can only take two modalities, respectively (0 and 1).

- \( Y_i = 0 \) If the company is healthy
- \( Y_i = 1 \) If the company is failing

After several tests using the logistic regression method on SPSS, the default prediction model retained 9 financial ratios and 3 signal variables.

4.2. Logistic regression results

The table below indicates the weight of each variable of the study in the prediction of default risk, we note that the variables with the most important predictive power are indebtedness, legal form, size of the company, liquidity and structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIGNAL VARIABLES OF OR</th>
<th>EXPLANATORY VARIABLES</th>
<th>B-FACTOR</th>
<th>MARGINAL CONTRIBUTION</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Code_forme juridique</td>
<td>,025</td>
<td>0,02491566</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code_secteur d’activité</td>
<td>,002</td>
<td>0,001550511</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code_région</td>
<td>,004</td>
<td>0,004034625</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>,017</td>
<td>0,017125153</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endettement</td>
<td>,003</td>
<td>0,003408292</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation Créances Clients</td>
<td>,013</td>
<td>0,012879715</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fp dans structure</td>
<td>,003</td>
<td>0,003353582</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFRCA</td>
<td>,005</td>
<td>0,004759032</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fd/dettes ML</td>
<td>,024</td>
<td>0,024194076</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frais financiers/CA</td>
<td>,004</td>
<td>0,003803625</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash ratio</td>
<td>,007</td>
<td>0,007199971</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset turnover</td>
<td>,015</td>
<td>0,015498618</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We adopted the following model:

\[
ZScore = 0.2*\text{legalform} + 0.01*\text{sectorofactivity} + 0.03*\text{Region} + 0.14*\text{Turnover} + 0.03*\text{Debt} + 0.1*\text{RotationCustomerReceivables} + 0.03*\text{%equity in structure} + 0.04*\text{workingcapitalrequirement/Turnover} + 0.2*\text{workingcapital/medium and long term debt} + 0.03*\text{Financialcosts/CA} + 0.06*\text{Cashratio} + 0.13*\text{Asset turnover}
\]

4.3. Discussion of results

According to the table of the marginal effects of the different variables used in our study, we see that the category of the ratio which has a significant weight on the default variable is the liquidity ratio with a weight of 27% followed by the ratio of debt (26%), the legal form variable with a percentage of 20%, the size ratio (14%), the profitability ratio with an effect of 6%, the region variable (3%) and finally the sector of activity (1%).

The coefficients obtained thus show the signs of the partial effects of each explanatory variable on the probability of default.

For the liquidity ratio, it includes the following financial variables: Customer Receivables Rotation, WCR/CA and Asset turnover.

• With regard to the variable Customer Receivables Rotation, it positively influences the risk of default, which means that the more the company grants its customers loans with a long duration, the more it finds itself in a situation of illiquidity, which leads to an increase in the credit risk of this company vis-à-vis its bank.

• BFR/CA has a positive effect on the forecast of credit risk, the more the company observes a change in its BFR/CA, the more a reduction in the risk of default is expected.

• The Asset turnover ratio is negatively linked with the probability of default, which means that the greater the turnover of the company's assets, the lower the risk of default.

In our study, the debt ratio includes the following financial variables: Debt, Equity/Medium and Long-term debt, Financial costs/Turnover.

• For the indebtedness variable, we note that it is positively correlated with the default risk forecast, so the more the company is indebted, the greater the probability of falling into a default situation.

• For the Equity/Medium and Long-term debt variable, it has a positive effect on credit risk, which means that the greater the share of debt in the company's equity, the greater the risk of default.

• A change in financial costs/tturnover leads to a strong chance of being risky. Financial costs show a company's indebtedness, the higher the ratio, the greater the risk of default.

• With regard to the size ratio, we have the turnover variable which has a negative effect on the prediction of default, the more the turnover of a company increases the more the probability of being in default decreases.

• As for the profitability ratio, we find the cash ratio which acts negatively on the forecast of credit risk, which supposes that the more the company is profitable the more it does not have payment difficulties.

5. Evaluation of the discriminating power of the model on the modeling sample

In order to test the discriminating power of our estimation model, we adopted the methods most used in research work, the Fisher test and the rate of good classification.

5.1. Evaluation of the significance of the model by Fisher's test

The principle of this test is based on the frequencies obtained in each cell of the crosstab (categorical variables do not have a mean or variance as a reference).

In our model, the Fisher test is presented in the table below:

| Table 6 : ANOVA |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| MODEL           | sum of squares  | Ddl             | medium square   | F               | Sig.             |
| Regression      | 9,099           | 12              | 0,758           | 8,825           | .000p            |
| Residues        | 84,887          | 988             | 0,086           |                 |                  |
| Total           | 93,986          | 1000            |                 |                 |                  |
According to the results of the Fisher statistic which presents a significance, we can conclude that the model is able to discriminate the target variable which is the defect.

5.2. Nickname R2

The pseudo-R2 statistic is therefore well between 0 and 1. The two extreme cases are:
- If the constrained model is correct, \( \hat{R}^2 = 0 \) and the statistic is 0 (the variations of \( Y_i \) are not explained by the \( X_i \)).
- If the unconstrained model fits the data perfectly, \( \hat{R}^2 = 1 \) and the pseudo-R2 is 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7: Model summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Adjusted R²</th>
<th>Standard error of the estimate</th>
<th>Edit statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-.844*</td>
<td>.713</td>
<td>.632</td>
<td>.093</td>
<td>.713</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above presents a summary of the logistic regression model used, in particular the coefficient of determination \( \hat{R}^2 \)estimated at 71%, which concludes that the model has good discriminating power.

**Quality of classification rules: Cross-validation method**

The measurement of the quality of a discrimination is done from the percentages of well classified (or badly classified) in each class, and of the overall percentage of well classified.

This measure can also, in some applications, involve misclassification costs.

A ranking table can calculate a percentage of correctly classified on the training sample, which will give an optimistic idea of the quality of discrimination.

The table below shows the results of the predictions of the Logit model developed. We notice that 89.7% of the observations are well classified in the sample.

According to the results, the established model achieved an overall good classification rate of 89.5%, that is to say that out of 100 borrowing companies 89 will be classified correctly and 11 will be badly classified by our model, which is satisfactory as a result.

6. CONCLUSION

Credit risk remains the primary concern for banks and other financial institutions who have put a lot of effort into trying to find the most effective ways to control or mitigate credit risk.

Mastery of credit risk management remains an objective sought by banks in order to prevent financial difficulties and identify healthy borrowers and defaulting borrowers.

In addition to the statistical approach of logistic regression, new management techniques are emerging so that banks can adapt to these significant changes while remaining efficient, such as models based on artificial intelligence (Neural networks, expert systems, etc.).
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